

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
01/20/2004	Julio Concha	60,426-264;10807/10785	1077
7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.		ЛANG, CHEN WEN	
APLE ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009		3744	
	01/20/2004 7590 12/30/2005 GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. APLE ROAD	01/20/2004 Julio Concha 7590 12/30/2005 GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. APLE ROAD	01/20/2004 Julio Concha 60,426-264;10807/10785  7590 12/30/2005 EXAM GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. APLE ROAD ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{C}$	20
Ď	1

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/760,668	CONCHA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		

		7.1. O.I.I.				
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS .						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>						
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / information	(1 102 02 4).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>			nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 12/13/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner indicates the second temperature threshold is inherently disclosed in the system because the desired temperature has two-threshold temperatures build-in the control circuit. One is for the system to energize and the other one is for the system to de-energize. This feature exists on all the water heater systems. The system will be turned on and off all the time if the system has only one threshold as Applicant asserted. The combination of prior art is proper because it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made; specifically, Yamaguchi et al. disclose temperature sensor 13 sensed tank inlet temperature and Stewart discloses temperature sensor 54 sensed tank outlet temperature and both prior art disclose temperature sensors at inside and outside of the tank. Yamaguchi et al. disclose the operation of heat pump is stopped in a case where the heat pump allowable highest temperature is sensed is a safety concern.

